

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AΤ	ORNEY DOCKET NO.	
087967,67	3 11/11/9	7 SASING		•		
[]	: · · .	QM41/0122	QM41/0122 ¬		EXAMINER	
1795 EAST	ULL RIDGE COURT	<u> </u>		67.0H, 5		
NORTHSTEL	D MN 55057			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			র্ম কর	7	
				DATE MAILED:	01/22/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•	Application No. 08/947, 473	Applicant(s) Hobing et al		
Office Action Summary	Examiner Cro	Group Art Unit 3733		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover shee	et beneath the correspondence address		
Period for Response	—			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS S MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE _///	NYCC MONTH(S) FROM THE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, 	a response within the state aut, expire SIX (6) MONT	atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication.		
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL .				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193				
Disposition of Claims				
\bigcirc elaim(s) $/-30$		is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
☑ Claim(s) 1-30		is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election		
Application Papers		requirement.		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approve	d □ disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examine	or.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the International 	the priority documents	s have been		
*Certified copies not received:		•		
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	o(s). 6	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413		
☑Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8 [□ Other		
Office	Action Summary			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/967473

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Reissue Applications

1. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to

contain a statement that all errors which are being corrected in the reissue application up to the

time of filing of the oath/declaration arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the

applicant. See 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414.

2. Claims 1-30 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35

U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this

Office action.

3. This reissue application was filed without the required offer to surrender the original

patent or, if the original is lost or inaccessible, an affidavit or declaration to that effect. The

original patent, or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent,

must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/967473

Art Unit: 3733

Interference

The request that an interference be declared is denied. The necessary conditions for interference have not been met. See 37 C.F.R. 1.607. and MPEP 1400. Note that applicant's filing date precedes the Rodgers date.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 19 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: Functionally, claim 19 requires a second foot supporting linkage assembly.

Claim 26 needs to recite a second foot support.

5. Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 10 and 13 should recite "a generally elliptical path".

6. Claims 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 3733

Claims 10-23 recite an elliptical path of motion. The Disclosure does not describe this type of motion.

Claim 26 recites that the foot support is "movable through multiple paths of motion". The Disclosure is silent regarding how this type of motion is achieved.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-30 avoid the prior art of record.
- 8. Conclusion
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Crow whose telephone number is (703) 308-3398.

STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 332

A Crow